



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,207	05/29/2001	Donald Gale	6802-82887	6159

7590

04/24/2003

Welsh & Katz, Ltd.
Jon P. Christensen
22nd Floor
120 South Riverside Plaza
Chicago, IL 60606

EXAMINER

YOUNG, JOHN L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3622

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/867,207

Applicant(s)
Gale et al.

Examiner
John Young

Art Unit
3622



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) John Young

(3) _____

(2) Jon Christensen

(4) _____

Date of Interview Apr 23, 2003

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 25 in app. 09/867,207 and claim 25 in the Apgar IV

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed possible premature final rejection on RCE. Advise applicant to request reconsideration pointing out how claim 25 of the instant invention is broader than the claim at issue in Apgar IV. It appears that Applicant raised significant issues for reconsideration. Examiner agreed to contact Applicant's representative via phone 3121 526 1566 when request for reconsideration is received by the Examiner.

Examiner's note: claim 25, lines 17-18 exhibits inferential claiming of "the server associated with the selected option" [antecedent basis issue].

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 4-23-03
Examiner's signature, if required